

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
RAMONA COMMUNITY PLANNING GROUP**

RECEIVED

JUN 03 2008

A regular meeting of the Ramona Community Planning Group (RCPG) was held on May 1, 2008 at 7 p.m., at the Ramona Community Center, 434 Aqua Lane, Ramona, California.

In Attendance: Chad Anderson (Arr. 7:25) Chris Anderson (Arr. 7:23) Torry Brean  
Matt Deskovick Carolyn Dorroh Katherine L. Finley  
Dennis Grimes Kristi Mansolf Vivian Osborn (Arr. 7:20)  
Helene Radzik Andrew Simmons (Arr. 7:12) Dennis Sprong  
Luauna Stines Angus Tobiason

Excused Absence: Kathy S. Finley

Helene Radzik, Chair of the RCPG, acted as Chair of the meeting. Kristi Mansolf, Secretary of the RCPG, acted as Secretary of the meeting.

**ITEM 1: The Chair Called the Meeting to Order at 7:06 p.m.**

**ITEM 2: Pledge of Allegiance**

**ITEM 3: The Secretary Determined a Quorum was Present**

**ITEM 4 LIST OF ABSENTEES FOR THIS MEETING. Determination of Excused and Unexcused Absences by the RCPG – Secretary Will Read Record Separately from the Minutes – Matt Deskovick, Katherine L. Finley and Dennis Grimes had excused absences.**

**ITEM 5: Approval of Order of the Agenda (Action)**

**MOTION: TO MOVE ITEM 10 TO THE BOTTOM OF THE AGENDA.**

**NO OBJECTIONS**, with Chris Anderson, Torry Brean, Matt Deskovick, Kathy S. Finley, Vivian Osborn, and Angus Tobiason absent.

**ITEM 6: Roberts Rules of Order – Rules of Parliamentary Procedure to be Followed during Meeting. The Brown Act – General Information on What it is and How it Applies to the RCPG (Chair)**

The Chair said Roberts Rules of Order govern how the RCPG meetings are conducted. The Brown Act delineates how the public participates.

**ITEM 7: ANNOUNCEMENTS & Correspondence Received (Chair)**

Ms. Mansolf announced the final DPLU mandatory training session for Planning and Sponsor Group members would be held Saturday, May 3, from 10 to 1 p.m. in Escondido. The Clevenger Canyon Wireless telecommunications facility was going to the Planning Commission on May 2. The Notice of Preparation of a Draft EIR for the General Plan (GP) Update was out for public review until May 28, 2008. As the RCPG did not receive it in enough time to put on the agenda, interested people should comment on it individually. At this phase, we should make sure all

items of concern are included in the Notice of Preparation. There will be a public scoping meeting at the DPLU Hearing Room on Ruffin on May 15 from 5:30 to 6:30.

The Chair announced that there would be some administrative subcommittee housekeeping at the end of the meeting. She attended a parliamentary workshop of a parliamentary group she is part of. As concerns the Brown Act, there is no requirement to fill out speaker slips. There is a silent use of speaker slips. This is not a violation of the Brown Act. A person who wants to discuss an issue doesn't need to be a resident to do so. The GP Update consultant met with some of the Planning and Sponsor Group chairs. A history was given and the Groups provided information to the consultant. The consultant suggested policies that would give the Groups more weight. Some of the suggestions were good ones. The Chair passed out the Form 700 to each RCPG member and told each member they are responsible for completing and returning the form.

**ITEM 8: NON-AGENDA ITEMS Presentations from Public on Land Issues not on Current Agenda (No Presentations on Ongoing Projects – These Must be Agendized)**

Speaker: Luauna Stines, Ramona Resident

Ms. Stines said that April 3 is the National Day of Prayer. She said a prayer.

Speaker: Wayne Miller, Ramona Resident

Mr. Miller lives on 330 Elm St. (Elm, Olive and 7<sup>th</sup> all come together at this point). He has 3 unusable acres on his parcel. The land is zoned A70 and has a high water table. He wants to make a storage area for RV's. He plans to come back to the June 5 meeting and present this concept to the RCPG.

**ITEM 9: Presentation from the Committee for a Better Ramona. Request for Consideration for Having Fewer RCPG Members on the Board (Discussion and Possible Action)**

Jim Sundberg, representing the Committee for a Better Ramona (CFBR), made the presentation. He said that his presentation would not include consideration of reduction of RCPG members.

The CFBR formed after the 2007 wildfires. They wanted to see what could be done better in the next fire, and met with Supervisor Jacob in December. She did not want to see the community splintered.

The CFBR wants to provide support to Cal Fire and managing agencies. They want to help prevent the water issue that occurred during/after the 2007 wildfires from happening again, and help with evacuation routes. They are not political and are concerned with safety. They have submitted their incorporation and filed for their fictitious name. There are 32 on their Committee. They want to apply for grant funds through FEMA and OES. They want to provide assistance during a crisis. Stores were closed during the fires, and people were unable to get their prescription medications.

Some of the potential road widening projects they have identified are San Vicente, Hwy 67, Dye Rd., Wildcat Canyon Rd., and Hwy 78. They want to work with the RMWD to have a continuous supply of water. The RMWD has made an effluent deal that will bring water to the golf course at the SDCE. Horses can be sheltered there on the golf course during a fire. They

want to work with the public and the RCPG. They are becoming familiar with the Ramona Community Protection and Evacuation Plan. They are working with Ramona CERT, the San Diego County Sheriff, Cal Fire, and County officials. They want to work to educate the public with a town meeting about evacuation. They are working with County Supervisors, OES, the State and the County to get mitigation funds. The resulting study will be presented to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Sprong asked what the CFBR needed one-quarter of a million dollars for?

Mr. Tobiason said the whole town had to leave immediately. He asked how many people can leave per hour? Had the wind shifted, people would have burned up on the road. The CHP could stop traffic at Dye Rd. and let 50 cars at a time go through. The County has amassed 4 or 5 hundred acres in Ramona. They should have an insurance policy for the homes that burn up next to open space.

Ms. Dorroh feels the item was agendized incorrectly. She feels that if people in the public knew what the CFBR was doing, they would have been interested in hearing their presentation. Ms. Dorroh said the CFBR should learn what is already being done in the Community. Future road improvements are included in the DPW Capital Improvement Projects list. All of the roads mentioned in the presentation as roads of concern are included.

The Chair suggested the CFBR stay around after their presentation to hear the Steering Committee report. This may be of interest to them.

Ms. Mansolf thanked the CFBR for coming and letting the RCPG know what they are doing. She's only been to a couple of their meetings, but feels they are solidifying their direction and goals. Ms. Mansolf thanked the CFBR for their efforts. The Capital Road Improvement project list for Ramona is available online at the DPW web site.

Mr. Simmons invited the CFBR to come to the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee meetings.

Mr. Brean said that Hwy 67 is a main frustration. This would be a better situation if there was better government.

Ms. Osborn said the RCPG is elected to try to represent the community and contend with growth. Rural communities can be overbuilt. There are RCPG subcommittees that the public can participate in.

Ms. Anderson said that we have a specific purview, specific to planning and land use. We can't do the work of the RMWD. There is an active Transportation/Trails Subcommittee. The Chair of that subcommittee and Patrick Uriell worked to get the funds that are due our community for road improvements. We have prioritized road projects.

Mr. Sundberg closed his presentation.

**ITEM 10: County of San Diego Draft Farming Program Plan. Public Review begins 4-16-08 and Ends 5-31-08. A Draft Farming Program Plan developed with the American Farmland Trust from Public Workshops held in 2005 and Ongoing Input from Related Agencies/County Departments. Available online:**  
**[http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_public\\_review.html](http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_public_review.html)**

***(MOVED TO THE BOTTOM OF THE AGENDA)***

**ITEM 11: GP Update Steering Committee Report from Meeting 3-26-08 (Chair)**

The Chair gave the Steering Committee report. Ramona will be getting approximately 55,000 people by 2020 based on the maps. The existing GP figure is 64,860 using the base figure of 35,745 as a model. Most groups disagree with the population figures. The County says the figures are from SANDAG and the 2002 census. The Chair brought up that Ramona's numbers were 10,000 too low at the beginning, and although this fact was brought to the attention of DPLU staff repeatedly, nothing was done to change it. The RCPG had been told that 52,000 would be the cap, and now we are up to 55,000. The County says the approach must be consistent. The Chair asked if the projected population from developments scheduled for the grasslands have been deleted as the properties are now owned by the Nature Conservancy or the County. The County responded that population figures on the maps are based on what a community can bear.

Ms. Radzik has a copy of the Land Use Element the Steering Committee has been working on. The comment period has been extended to 60 days, and the deadline to comment is June 24.

According to Devon Muto, the Regional category has been broken down into 5 sections: development; sustainability; village and town center; semi-rural and rural; and commercial, office, and industrial.

In the Land Use Element, the material is organized by goal – one goal is stated and support policies are listed. Mr. Muto said that this revealed that not all goals had policies, and that not all policies had goals. Staff-created goals and policies are labeled. Goals and policies are reworded to eliminate or reduce 'shoulds' and 'shalls'. All new policies are staff generated.

The Land Use Element had changed the definition of big box commercial development from 65,000 to 90,000 square feet. This was noted, and DPLU said it be changed back to 65,000 square feet. It was also stated that Community Plans that are part of the General Plan have equal weight with the General Plan provisions and General Plans cannot trump Community Plans. Community Plans can be more restrictive than General Plans but not less restrictive. As concerns the big box commercial development, Bryan Woods said that they are allowed to state a size specific for floor area ratio in the zoning box – such as 'no larger than,' which addresses community character. Older big box development created a sea of asphalt with their parking lots. The Chair stated that 2 roads were vacated to accommodate big box stores in Ramona, and this also ruined traffic circulation.

Some community members were not happy that comments given to DPLU on the Land Use Element document had been incorporated into the document without ever being voted on. This information should have been reviewed by the Steering Committee before being added to the document. In the past, the document had been voted on. The document needs to be clearly marked as coming from the Steering Committee and not be just a bunch of individual comments to be considered.

The Chair stated at the meeting that she cannot identify individual communities input in the Land Use Element. Mr. Muto said the new materials is labeled 'new,' so all other changes would have been a result of community planning group input.

DPLU now recognize the Community Plans to be part of the General Plan and General Plan Update process. Once major Steering Committee work on the GP Update Land Use Element document is completed, it is expected work will turn toward individual community plans. County staff will be available to help with this process. A template is being developed to help make plan documents more uniform in presentation. Work on the template is anticipated to be completed in a month or so.

DPLU has issued a Notice of Preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Report for the GP Update. Public comment ends May 28, and review by the Planning Commission is expected in June.

The Environmentally Sensitive Map was presented. It is required in the CEQA process. It is closer to the concepts used on 2001 which were pre-referrals, pre-multiple reviews, and pre-board changes. 1/10s were changed to 1/20s, and 1/20s to 1/40s. Outside the CWA line, the 1/160s return. These are not on the Referral and Hybrid maps. Changes made in the road network for traffic modeling remain, and changes needed to meet the Housing Element also.

There will be equity mechanisms for agriculture only. The agriculture program is being prepared by the PACE program, and will be ready in the next couple of months. The American Farmland Trust is involved. Campo/Lake Morena asked for confirmation that there will be no equity mechanisms for the downzoned 1/40s – this will be the case.

**ITEM 12: SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS**

**12-A: PARKS (Brean) (Action Item)**

**12-A-1: Parks Land Development Ordinance (PLDO) Project Priority List  
for 2006-2007 – Consideration will be Given to Existing Project Priority  
List**

Mr. Brean said the Boys and Girls Club at Collier had a proposal to develop a gym. They have changed their plans due to funding constraints. This project will be presented to the RCPG at the meeting June 5.

A new project was brought forward. Ramona Community School, newly constructed on Hanson Lane, is in need of playground facilities and shade areas. The proposal is to provide a picnic area including shade, water, and outdoor tables so that families may enjoy picnicking at the park; to promote fitness training by expanding available equipment to include a handball court and fitness court. The School is a neighborhood park. This proposal was approved previously. It is being resubmitted.

Mr. Tobiason was appreciative of the application.

Ms. Dorroh said signage is needed for a park.

Dawn Perfect, project grant representative, said there was a drainage problem.

Mr. Brean said most issues have been resolved.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE RAMONA COMMUNITY SCHOOL PLAYGROUND  
IMPROVEMENTS.**

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Andrew Simmons, the Motion **passed 14-0-0-0-1**, with Kathy S. Finley absent.

Mr. Brean said the ROCC pavilion project was never added to the PLDO list previously. The County doesn't have a project description. The PLDO list can be modified at any time.

Mr. Sprong asked what has been removed from the list?

Mr. Brean said the Wellfield bike/walking trail was removed.

**MOTION: TO AMEND THE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST TO THE FOLLOWING: 1) RAMONA HIGH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS, PHASE I; 2) RAMONA COMMUNITY SCHOOL PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS; 3) ROCC PAVILION IMPROVEMENTS.**

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Luauna Stines, the Motion **passed 14-0-0-0-1**, with Kathy S. Finley absent.

There was consideration of changing the order of the items. Ms. Mansolf heard from Pete Schiff, Superintendent of the RUSD, that an agreement had been reached with the wildlife agencies, the documents have been signed, and the project can move forward.

**MOTION: TO AMEND THE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST TO THE FOLLOWING: 1) RAMONA COMMUNITY SCHOOL PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS; 2) RAMONA HIGH SCHOOL RECREATIONAL FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS, PHASE I; 3) ROCC PAVILION IMPROVEMENTS.**

Upon motion made by Vivian Osborn, and seconded by Matt Deskovick, the Motion **passed 13-0-1-0-1**, with Kristi Mansolf abstaining, and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**12-B: GP Update Plan (formerly 2020 Community Plan)(Anderson) (No Business)**

**12-C: WEST (Mansolf) (No Business)**

**12-D: EAST (Finley) (Action Items)**

**12-D-1: TM 5307RPL5 Pahl's Way. Clinton Hale and Bud Gray, Applicants.  
Owner is Lakeside Ventures, Inc. Proposal to split 202 acres into 8 lots  
ranging from 8 to 40 acres. (w/T&T)**

Applicant not present. Subcommittee did not meet. Item addressed under Transportation/Trails.

**12-D-2: AD 08-016, Borneman Oversized Garage, 845 Schoolhouse Rd., 5360 Sq. Ft.  
On a 4.4 Acre Lot**

The project applicant was present. There was no Subcommittee review. The applicant added 40 by 60 feet to a permitted structure. The owner races cars. The garage is already built. All of the neighbors signed off on the Administrative Permit.

Ms. Anderson spoke in favor of the project.

The applicant said the structure is 3,500 square feet over what the County wants.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE.**

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the Motion **passed 13-1-0-0-1**, with Matt Deskovick voting no, and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**12-D-3:P07-002RPL, Gardner Property, 27541 Hwy 78. Sprint/Nextel Cell Site, 15 Panel-Type Antenna Mounted to Roof and 11.5 by 20 by 10 Foot Shelter. In the Scenic Corridor**

Anne Wulfange is the project representative. There was no Subcommittee review. The project is located at Deer Canyon Dr. and Hwy 78. Verizon is proposing to build a barn and install antennas in panels on the roof. The barn is 11 by 20 square feet and 17 feet in height.

Speaker: Kenn Petsch, Ramona Resident

Mr. Petsch owns the adjoining property. He said the applicant did a good job of revising the project. He has concerns about color, the vegetation that will be planted around it, and on the power line going to the project.

Ms. Wulfange said there is 1 power line going to the project. Power will not be coming off Mr. Petsch's property. The vegetation will be annatto plum. The equipment will be textured to match. The color may be brown, which will likely blend with the area.

Mr. Simmons said that the cell site barn at the end of Scripps Poway Parkway is a color brown that doesn't work.

Mr. Petsch suggested a grayish blue color.

Mr. Anderson suggested the property owner decide the color.

Ms. Wulfange said the house must be rebuilt. If the house goes up first, the cell site color will have to match.

Ms. Anderson said the house isn't there and the proposed structure is in the scenic corridor.

**MOTION: TO REQUEST THE APPLICANT COME BACK SO THE RCPG CAN LOOK AT COLOR SWATCHES FOR THE BARN AND A RENDITION OF COLOR CHOICES.**

Upon motion made by Chris Anderson and seconded by Andrew Simmons, the Motion **passed 9-4-1-0-1**, with Torry Brean, Matt Deskovick, Helene Radzik, and Luauna Stines voting no, Angus Tobiason abstaining, and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**MOTION: TO MOVE ITEM 12-G-2 TO 12-H-5.**

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Kristi Mansolf, the Motion **failed 5-7-2-1**, with Chad Anderson, Chris Anderson, Torry Brean, Matt Deskovick, Vivian Osborn, Dennis Sprong and Luauna Stines voting no, Katherine L. Finley and Angus Tobiason abstaining, and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**12-E: SOUTH (Stines) (No Business)**

**12-F: AHOPE (Osborn) (No Business)**

**12-G: CUDA (Anderson) (Action Items)**

**12-G-1: Sunrise Villas Apartments, S06-009, Request by Applicant to Waive the Under grounding of Utilities (Policy I-92) Bruce Steingraber, Consultant (w/T&T) *TO BE HEARD 6-5-08 AT APPLICANT'S REQUEST***

**12-G-2: PAA 08-003, Estates at McDonald Park, Hanson Ln./Hanson Way. 9.78 acres. Proposed Subdivision of 8 1-acre Lots (of a Previous 11 Lot Subdivision – undeveloped) into 15 Lots (.5 acre) (w/T&T)**

The CUDA Subcommittee did not meet. Mr. Simmons gave the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee report. There are concerns that the County is referring to the GP Update when neither the community nor the county have approved the new plan. It was felt that only 5 out of the 10 items for the Policy I-63 criteria were met, which would mean that the project is not compatible with the area.

The project only meets 5 out of 10 I-63 criteria. It does not meet 2 and 3, as stated in the provided documentation. It also does not meet #1 (last update was only 2 years ago, not more than 3 years ago); #8 (will have an adverse impact to existing uses); #10 (project is not consistent with General Plan and not consistent with goals and policies of Ramona Community Plan).

Many residential properties in the area do not conform to current 1du/ac zoning. This proposal creates twice the density of nearby properties and is not compatible with existing developments.

Infrastructure in the area has not been designed or developed to handle increased density as proposed by this project.

The Subcommittee recommended no general plan amendment – no zoning change, with 5 yes votes and 1 no vote.

Ms. McDonald spoke on the project. Mr. Timblin is a friend of hers and has been moving the project forward. She taught school in Ramona for many years. Her husband also served the community. The property was bought over 50 years ago and is across from Olive Peirce Middle School. They were going to have to sell the property. They recently had a project approved with 1 acre lots. The cost was excessive for processing the project. The County said the project was not feasible financially. For the General Plan Amendment, 7 of 8 lots are being proposed to be cut in half. There will be a fire access road, but it is not finalized on the map. They will be staying within the parameters of the GP Update with the project. The project is walking distance to the school. The County said that adding 7 lots won't be too involved. All lots will be on sewer. The access will be the same as before. The Fire Department is requiring a 24 foot paved access road.

Ms. Stines thanked Ms. McDonald for the many years she spent helping kids. She thinks the project is a good project, and the proximity to the schools is perfect.

Speaker: Darrell Beck, Ramona Resident



Mr. Beck supports the project in its present form. He has known the family for years. There were 2000 people in Ramona back then. He thinks the project is more compatible than stacking houses in the Town Center. This is a good project, close to the schools.

Speaker: Beverly Maes, Ramona Resident

Ms. Maes said this is not a Shea Homes development. Who knows when the GP Update will be done? Ms. McDonald has served and educated the community.

Mr. Tobiason said the family has been here a long time. Ms. McDonald had to mitigate because she allowed grasses to grow on the land. She had to buy land to mitigate for the grasslands in Encinitas. This is ridiculous.

Ms. Anderson said the project is an example of carrying capacity. The hillside will be preserved.

Ms. Mansolf wanted the extra access road for emergencies to be finalized on the map.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE.**

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Angus Tobiason, the Motion **passed 12-2-0-0-1**, with Kristi Mansolf and Andrew Simmons voting no, and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**12-G-3:ABC 08-004, Beer Only License for 626 Main St., Ramona Theater  
(to reopen with live entertainment), Orrin Day, Owner**

The CUDA Subcommittee did not meet. Mr. Day could not be at the meeting, and sent a representative in his place. The representative said the intent was to re-open the Ramona Theater for live entertainment catering to families, and serve beer only. This would give people an opportunity to stay in Ramona for entertainment.

Ms. Stines said she has concerns with serving alcohol. She cited the latest alcohol-related accidents, and said she has concerns to alcohol being served in any form. A family theater doesn't have to serve alcohol.

Mr. Brean said he thought it would be okay to have.

Ms. Osborn had concerns that young people not be served.

The representative said specific guidelines pertaining to serving alcohol would be adhered to.

Ms. Anderson said the Design Review Board reviewed the project. Wine will not be served. They will be under ABC rules.

**MOTION: TO APPROVE.**

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Chris Anderson, the Motion **passed 11-1-1-0-2**, with Vivian Osborn and Luauna Stines voting no, and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**12-H: TRANSPORTATION/TRAILS (Simmons)  
12-H-1:TM 5307RPL5 Pahl's Way. Clinton Hale and Bud Gray, Applicants.**

**Owner is Lakeside Ventures, Inc. Proposal to split 202 acres into 8 lots ranging from 8 to 40 acres. (w/EAST)**

Mr. Simmons gave the Transportation/Trails Subcommittee report. This is a 200 acre parcel of land with the designation being 40 acre lot minimums on half of the property; and multiple use on the other side with a minimum of 8 acre parcel lots. There was a question as to whether the property would all be under 40 acre minimums once the GP Update passes; the proponent stated that 2020 has been in progress for years and not part of the project as it stands now. Property has passed the groundwater test with sufficient refresh and it percs fine. Fire department likes the project but wants the road to go to a public road, not a private road. Witch Creek Fire burned through this area.

It was stated that the two roads on the project are on the master road plan for a pathway, and that will be required, at a minimum of 10 feet.

The Subcommittee motion was to tie into the trails access on the master trails plan on the secondary road access and we approve the concept of the plan. The motion passed, unanimously.

**MOTION: TO TIE IN TRAILS ACCESS ON THE MASTER TRAILS PLAN ON THE SECONDARY ROAD ACCESS. WE APPROVE THE CONCEPT OF THE TRAILS PORTION OF THE PLAN.**

***MOTION WITHDRAWN.***

Ms. Anderson said she has concerns about the project. There was a shared well. Wells have gone dry in the area. People who have served on the East Subcommittee had concerns about the project in the past. She wants to know if the access road is legal and deeded to allow secondary access.

It was determine the project would go to the West Subcommittee for review in the next month.

**MOTION: TO TABLE UNTIL NEXT MONTH.**

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Chris Anderson, the Motion **passed 12-1-0-0-2**, with Dennis Sprong voting no, and Matt Deskovick and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**12-H-2: Sunrise Villas Apartments, S06-009, Request by Applicant to Waive the Under grounding of Utilities (Policy I-92) Bruce Steingraber, Consultant (w/CUDA) TO BE HEARD 6-5-08 AT APPLICANT'S REQUEST**

**12-H-3: Watt Rd. Safety Issue due to Increase in Traffic and Speed of Traffic. Consideration/Discussion of Possible Mechanisms/Signage to Address Concerns**

A neighbor to the road says traffic is excessive and speed is excessive. The traffic control assessment that the County did would give them a radar enforced sign. The speed limit is 25 mph. She would like a road block or speed bumps to slow down the speeds. It interferes with the quality of life and is not safe. Her daughter has to cross the road to get to the open space trail and it's just dangerous.

A traffic engineer that is also a subcommittee member stated he is very familiar with the road. The road is a residential road, which is the first requirement to get the CHP to enforce it. What will happen is that the neighbors and people that cut through this area will start getting tickets. Rumble strips are at the bottom of the hill, and so are the speed counters, which doesn't allow for an accurate speed measure. The County won't shut down the road, and they won't put speed bumps on hills.

The first step is to get the CHP to start enforcing it, and look at it again in six months, with the speed collectors being placed in more appropriately to collect data – not on a downgrade. In six months, if the speed is not reduced, the neighbors need to get together to talk about the traffic calming issues that can be done.

There was a question as to whether there was a speed notification sign with a digital readout, and if a camera system could be used. The response is that they are working on a camera system now. No action taken.

**12-H-4:TPM 21031RPL, Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration, Kruse Minor Subdivision (2 lots). 4.67 acre lot into 2 Residential Parcels of 2.01 and 2.10 Net Acres Each. Located at 18729 Highland Valley Rd. (Located between Adrienne Way and Handlebar). Public Review ends 5-16-08. Available At: [http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_public\\_review.html](http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_public_review.html)**  
***RECEIVED TOO LATE FOR WEST SUBCOMMITTEE REVIEW***

This item was not addressed at the Subcommittee. Don Ayles was in attendance to represent the project. There will be an onsite access road. The RCPG had approved the project in the past.

**MOTION: TO ACCEPT THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION.**

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Chris Anderson, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Matt Deskovick and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**12-H-5:PAA 08-003, Estates at McDonald Park, Hanson Ln./Hanson Way. 9.78 acres. Proposed Subdivision of 8 1-acre Lots (of a Previous 11 Lot Subdivision – undeveloped) into 15 Lots (.5 acre) (w/CUDA)**

**12-H-6:Safety Issue on 5<sup>th</sup> and Main St.**

Coming down 5<sup>th</sup> street, the landscaping at the RV place is creating a site distance issue with being able to see to the east at the corner, and the traffic lane is 18 inches from the curb. It was suggested the item be referred to Traffic Advisory Committee staff for consideration, and work with the business to find a better alternative. No action needed on our end at this point.

**12-H-7:Department of Parks and Recreation, Community Trails Master Plan/County Trails Program – Possible Update of Ramona Community Trails and Pathways Plan to be Considered**

Karen Carlson brought an updated map to show where RTA is in the process. The trails are fairly well done, but they still need to define the trails matrix in the County plan, and they are working on it currently. There should be something to show next month for review.

**12-I: DESIGN REVIEW (Anderson) – Update on Projects Reviewed by the Design Review Board**

Ms. Anderson went over the projects the Design Review Board reviewed. Pepe's Market was reviewed for their non conforming building color in the historic district. The site plan for the 28 bed facility for older residents passed. The Spirit of Joy project will be reviewed next month. The Ramona Cleaners presented new signage. Bank of America revisions are conforming. Mi Casa Reveles, formerly El Nopal, is being requested to change the color of their building to conform to what is in the historic district. Di'Giovanni's is renovating. The building will be a mulberry blue green. They called out colors and lighting. RAE didn't follow their approved plan. The DRB wants it revised to what was approved. Once the changes are completed, the DRB will sign off. The Sizzler Restaurant is being taken back from the franchise. It is being redone and signs will be modified.

**ITEM 13: Other Business**

**A. Discussion of Bicycle Trails along Major Roads, with Regards to Safety Issues (Discussion and Possible Action)**

**MOTION: TO SEND THE BICYCLE ISSUE TO T&T.**

Upon motion made by Torry Brean and seconded by Luauna Stines, the Motion **passed 13-0-0-0-2**, with Matt Deskovick and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**B. Consideration of Having an Alternate to Attend Ramona Village Design Meetings. Appointment of Second Committee Member to Replace Current Designee (Discussion and Possible Action)**

The Chair said that Ms. Osborn did not wish to continue being the RCPG representative on the Ramona Village Design project. The Chair appointed Mr. Simmons to take Ms. Osborn's place, and the Chair will be the alternate.

**C. Consideration of Co-Hosting Ramona Disaster Preparedness Informational Presentation on May 28, 5:30 p.m., at Community Center, with Chamber and Committee for a Better Ramona (Discussion and Possible Action)**

Ms. Mansolf asked if the RCPG wanted to co-host the Disaster Preparedness Informational Presentation to be held May 28, with the Ramona Municipal Water District, the Ramona Chamber of Commerce, and the Committee for a Better Ramona. The meeting will go over basic functions of the first responders during a disaster and include what individuals should be focusing on.

**MOTION: TO GIVE IT A TRY.**

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmons and seconded by Torry Brean, the Motion **passed 10-0-3-0-2**, with Chris Anderson, Carolyn Dorroh, and Luauna Stines abstaining, and Matt Deskovick and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**D. Consideration of Formation of Subcommittee Chair Ad-Hoc to Determine Merit for Consent Agenda (Discussion and Possible Action)**

The Chair wanted the subcommittee chairs to get together and decide the merit of having a consent agenda. For this to happen, there would have to be unanimous approval of projects from all subcommittees that review the projects. Items could be pulled for review.

Ms. Anderson said that minutes could be submitted so that RCPG members could see subcommittee actions taken.

Ms. Osborn said she didn't know why the RCPG is here. We should be looking at all discretionary items submitted to us by the County. She didn't agree with a consent agenda.

Mr. Grimes said the Board of Supervisors uses a consent agenda, as does the Planning Commission. Items can be pulled to mitigate concerns.

Ms. Dorroh said if the public shows up, this won't go over well.

Ms. Anderson said that if someone puts in a speaker slip, the item goes off the consent agenda.

The Chair said a consent agenda will give subcommittees a chance to be more involved. Subcommittee chairs will have to provide minutes of meetings.

Ms. Mansolf said she didn't agree with the idea of a consent agenda, but would meet with other subcommittee chairs to discuss, if they wanted to, over the summer.

**MOTION: THAT SIX OR SEVEN SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRS GET TOGETHER OVER THE SUMMER AND DISCUSS THIS ITEM FOR ITS MERIT.**

Upon motion made by Andrew Simmona and seconded by Dennis Grimes, the Motion **passed 8-3-2-0-2**, with Carolyn Dorroh, Vivian Osborn, and Dennis Sprong voting no, Chris Anderson and Angus Tobiason abstaining, and Matt Deskovick and Kathy S. Finley absent.

The Chair said to put this item on the next agenda for further discussion.

**ITEM 14: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (Chair)**

**A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES 4-3-08 and 4-17-08 (Minutes of 4-17-08 to be Reviewed 6-5-08) (Action)**

**MOTION: TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF 4-3-08.**

Upon motion made by Luauna Stines and seconded by Dennis Sprong, the Motion **passed 10-0-3-0-2**, with Chris Anderson, Katherine L. Finley, and Dennis Grimes abstaining, and Matt Deskovick and Kathy S. Finley absent.

**B. Concerns From Members**

There were some concerns expressed regarding the CUDA Subcommittee Chair not attending the most recent CUDA meeting. Mr. Anderson apologized for missing the meeting.

**C. Names Submitted for New Subcommittee Members (Action) – None**

**D. Agenda Requests – None**

**ITEM 10: County of San Diego Draft Farming Program Plan. Public Review begins 4-16-08 and Ends 5-31-08. A Draft Farming Program Plan developed with the American Farmland Trust from Public Workshops held in 2005 and Ongoing Input from Related Agencies/County Departments. Available online:  
[http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa\\_public\\_review.html](http://www.sdcounty.ca.gov/dplu/ceqa_public_review.html)  
*(MOVED TO THE BOTTOM OF THE AGENDA)***

Ms. Mansolf wanted everyone to be aware of the project. She would be willing to make copies of the draft document for anyone interested. Several members had already requested this. The project looks at the present and future of agriculture in San Diego County.

Some members who had reviewed the document felt it was worth the effort.

**ITEM 15: Adjournment**

Respectfully submitted,

Kristi Mansolf